arXiv:1703.04666v1 [math.CV] 14 Mar 2017

REAL STRUCTURES ON MARKED SCHOTTKY SPACE

RUBÉN A. HIDALGO AND SEBASTIAN SARMIENTO

Abstract. Schottky groups are exactly those Kleinian groups providing the regular lowest planar uniformizations of closed Riemann surfaces and also the ones providing to the interior of a handlebody of a complete hyperbolic structure with injectivity radius bounded away from zero. The space parametrizing quasiconformal deformations of Schottky groups of a fixed rank $g \geq 1$ is the marked Schottky space $\mathcal{MS}_g$; this being a complex manifold of dimension $3(g-1)$ for $g \geq 2$ and being isomorphic to the punctured unit disc for $g = 1$. In this paper we provide a complete description of the real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_g$, up to holomorphic automorphisms, together their real part.

1. Introduction and main results

A real structure on an n-dimensional complex manifold X is provided by an anti-holomorphic automorphism $J : X \to X$ of order two; its fixed points being the corresponding real points. The set of real points is the real part of J and, if not empty, it is a (possible disconnected) real manifold of dimension n.

The real part of a real structure on X is a natural invariant and the problem of computing it is the so-called Harnack's problem [21]. Two problems arise in this context: (i) to compute the number of connected components of the real part and (ii) the determination and description of the different real structures that X may have, up to conjugation by holomorphic automorphisms.

If $n = 1$ and X is compact, that is, when X is a closed Riemann surface of some genus g, then the number of connected components of the real part of a real structure on X is contained in the set $\{0, 1 \ldots, g+1\}$ [15]. Also, in this case, if g is even, then it has at most four real structures up to holomorphic conjugacy [14] (in genus zero there are exactly two); for odd genus there are upper bounds in [9]. For $n \geq 2$ it seems that there is no known similar results, with the exception of some particular situations; for instance, the n-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $n \geq 2$ even, has exactly one real structure up to conjugation by holomorphic automorphisms and, for $n \geq 3$ odd, there are two (this can be seen by checking at $(n+1)/2$ general points).

Another (non-compact) example is given by the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ of a closed Riemann surface S of genus $g \geq 1$ (a finite dimensional simply-connected complex manifold parametrizing all Riemann surfaces structures on S up to isotopy), where the description and classification of its real structures (together their real parts) have been done in several papers; see for instance [5, 10, 39, 40, 41, 43] (in Section 2 we provide a short summary as a matter of completeness).

Interesting examples of non-compact finite dimensional complex manifolds (in general non simply-connected ones) are given by the quasiconformal deformation space $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ of a finitely

Date: March 16, 2017.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30F10, 30F40.

Key words and phrases. Riemann Surfaces, Kleinian groups, Schottky Groups, Real structures, Quasiconformal deformation spaces.

Partially supported by Projects Fondecyt 1150003 and Anillo ACT 1415 PIA-CONICYT.

generated Kleinian group K with non-empty region of discontinuity (see Section 4). In the particular case that K is a torsion-free co-compact Fuchsian group, the manifold $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}(S)\times\mathcal{T}(S)$, where S is the Riemann surface uniformized by the group; as a consequence, a description of its real structures can be obtained by the knowledge of those of $\mathcal{T}(S)$. But for more general Kleinian groups, the description and classification of its real structures up to holomorphic conjugation seems to be unknown.

In this paper we assume K to be a Schottky group of rank $g \geq 1$ (see Section 3). A main interest on Schottky groups is that these are exactly those Kleinian groups providing: (i) the regular lowest planar uniformizations of closed Riemann surfaces and (ii) the complete hyperbolic structure with injectivity radius bounded away from zero to the interior of a handlebody.

As any two Schottky groups of the same rank are quasiconformally conjugated, their quasiconformal deformation spaces are isomorphic. A model of $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ is given by the marked Schottky space $\mathcal{MS}_g$ (see, for instance, [7, 8, 36], and Section 6 for details). The space $\mathcal{MS}_1$ is isomorphic to the punctured unit disc $\Delta^* = \{0 < |z| < 1\}$ and, for $g \geq 2$, $\mathcal{MS}_g$ is a non simply-connected complex manifold of dimension $3(g-1)$ (a domain of holomorphy of $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3}$ [36]).

As $\mathcal{MS}_1$ is isomorphic to Δ^*, one sees that each of its real structures is given by the reflection on a diameter. In particular, (i) every real structure has real points, (ii) the real part has two connected components (each one being an arc), and (ii) they are conjugated (in its group of holomorphic automorphisms; rotations about the origin) to the canonical real structure $J_1(z) = \overline{z}$.

The aim of this paper is to provide a description of the real structures, up to conjugation by holomorphic automorphisms, and their real parts, of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ for $g \geq 2$ (see Theorem 1). We observe that each real point of a real structure of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ is provided by an extended Schottky group of rank g (see Section 3 for the definition). A structural decomposition of extended Schottky groups, in terms of the Klein-Maskit combination theorems [31], was obtained in [13] (see Theorem 2). This structural decomposition permits to observe that topologically conjugated extended Schottky groups are also quasiconformally conjugated [13]. Another consequence of the structural description is Proposition 4 (see Section 3.6) which provides the number M_g of topologically non-conjugated extended Schottky groups of a fixed rank g. In Section 5 we will see that each extended Schottky group of rank g induces in a natural way a real structure on $\mathcal{MS}_g$ with non-empty real part for which one of its connected components is a real analytic copy of its quasiconformal deformation space. We prove that the converse holds and that real points of real structures on $\mathcal{MS}_g$ are in correspondence with extended Schottky groups. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $g \geq 2$ be an integer.*

(1) *Each real point of the marked Schottky space $\mathcal{MS}_g$ can be naturally identified with an extended Schottky group of rank g. In fact, each connected component of the real part of a real structure is real analytic isomorphic to the quasiconformal deformation of the corresponding extended Schottky group representing a real point on such a component.*
(2) *Any two real structures having fixed points corresponding to topologically equivalent extended Schottky groups are conjugate in the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{MS}_g$.*
(3) *There are exactly 4 non-conjugate real structures on $\mathcal{MS}_2$; only one of them has empty real part.*
(4) *If $g \geq 3$ and T_g is the number of conjugation classes of order two elements of $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$, then there are exactly $T_g + 1$ non-conjugate real structure on $\mathcal{MS}_g$. Moreover, each real structure with non-empty real part is induced by an extended Schottky group.*

Part (3) will be proved in Section 6.4 and the first half of Part (4) in Section 6.5. The rest of the proof is done in Section 7.

Part (1) of the previous theorem tell us that, for $g \geq 2$, every real structure, with non-empty real part, of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ is induced by an extended Schottky group of rank g. But, there may be topologically non-equivalent extended Schottky groups of the same rank inducing the same real structure (see Section 6.3.2 and Remark 24).

We have provide some results in terms of function groups (more than to be restricted to Schottky groups) hoping that the used arguments may be easily adapted to provide a similar description for the real structures of other "nice" Kleinian groups (for instance, finitely generated torsion-free Kleinian groups whose region of discontinuity is connected); see Remark 28.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, just a matter of completeness, we recall the classification of real structures on Teichmüller space of a closed Riemann surface. In Section 3 we provide the definitions of extended Kleinian groups, in particular of extended Schottky groups, state the structural description of extended Schottky groups and compute the number of topologically conjugacy classes of extended Schottky groups of a fixed rank. In Section 4 we recall some of the definitions and of the properties of quasiconformal deformation theory of (extended) Kleinian groups. In Section 5 we describe some real structures, with non-empty real part, of the quasiconformal deformation space of function groups (which are induced by extended Kleinian groups). In Section 6 we provide the definition of marked Schottky groups and that of the marked Schottky space $\mathcal{MS}_g$ (observing that this is a model for the quasiconformal deformation of a Schottky group of rank g). Also, it is stated Marden's description of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ [27], which asserts that, for $g \geq 3$, the group of its holomorphic automorphisms is naturally isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$ of exterior automorphisms of the free group of rank g (for $g = 2$ we need to quotient by the "hyperelliptic involution"). Then we discuss the real structures (introducing first the "canonical" one), we compute the real structures for $\mathcal{MS}_2$ and we count the number of conjugacy classes of real structures for $\mathcal{MS}_g$. The final section contains the rest of the proof of Theorem 1.

2. On real structures on Teichmüller space: a review

In this section we recall the description and classification of the real structures of the Teichmüller space of a closed Riemann surface as matter of completeness; the reader may skip this and continue to the next section. We first proceed to recall the definition of Teichmüller space and then we describe the known results concerning its real structures (see, for instance, [5, 10, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43] for a more detailed description and classification of real structures).

Let S be a fixed closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. A marking of S is a pair (R, f), where R is a closed Riemann surface of genus g and $f : S \to R$ is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism. Two markings (R_1, f_1) and (R_2, f_2) are called *Teichmüller equivalent* if there is a bi-holomorphism $h : R_1 \to R_2$ so that $f_2^{-1} h f_1$ is isotopic to the identity. The set of equivalence classes of markings of S is called the *Teichmüller space* $\mathcal{T}(S)$. As a consequence of Teichmüller's theorem (see, for instance, [36]), $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is a simply connected complex manifold of dimension $3(g-1)$.

Let $\mathrm{Diff}(S)$ be the group of diffeomorphisms of S, $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S)$ be its index two subgroup of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms and $\mathrm{Diff}_0(S)$ be its normal subgroup of diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity. The extended modular group of S is given by the quaotient $\mathrm{Mod}(S) = \mathrm{Diff}(S)/\mathrm{Diff}_0(S)$ and the modular group is given by $\mathrm{Mod}^+(S) = \mathrm{Diff}^+(S)/\mathrm{Diff}_0(S)$.

A natural action of $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is given by $[\phi]([R,f)] = [R, f\phi^{-1}]$, where $[\phi] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ and $[R,f] \in \mathcal{T}(S)$. Royden's theorem [38] asserts that the group $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ acts as the full group of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic automorphism of $\mathcal{T}(S)$, the group $\mathrm{Mod}^+(S)$ provides the group of holomorphic automorphisms, and that this action is faithful only if $g \geq 3$ (faithfulness fails in genus $g = 2$ since the hyperelliptic involution acts trivially).

Each real structure on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is induced by an orientation reversing diffeomorphism of order two $\alpha : S \to S$. Note that if $\phi : S \to S$ is a diffeomorphism acting as the identity on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ (for example, for the hyperelliptic involution if $g = 2$), then α and $\phi\alpha$ both induce the same real structure. By the Nielsen realization theorem [19], there is a new Riemann surface structure R on S so that α acts as an anti-holomorphic involution. Now, by lifting this involution to the universal cover space $\mathbb{H}^2$, one obtains a non-Eucliden crystallographic (NEC) group of genus g (its index two orientation-preserving half is the Fuchsian group of genus g uniformizing R). In this way, the real points of real structures on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ can be identified with the NEC groups of genus g. In particular, if $g \geq 3$, then the number of real structures on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is equal to the number of topologically different NEC groups of genus g.

If $g = 2$, then, up to isotopy, there are exactly 5 topologically non-conjugate orientation reversing diffeomorphisms of order two on S. But, as previously noted, if $\tau_2 = \eta\tau_1$, where η is the hyperelliptic involution and τ_1 is an anti-conformal involution, then both τ_1 and τ_2 induce the same real structure. Direct computations permit to see that there are exactly four real structures up to conjugation by a holomorphic automorphism on $\mathcal{T}(S)$.

If $g \geq 3$ and $\phi : S \to S$ is a diffeomorphism acting as the identity on $\mathcal{T}(S)$, then (as a consequence of Royden's theorems) ϕ is isotopic to the identity. So, in this case, the number of different conjugacy classes of real structures of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is equal to the number of topologically different orientation reversing diffeomorphism of order 2 in S; which is equal to, due to the Nielsen realization theorem [19], the number of topologically different anti-conformal involutions on genus g Riemann surfaces, that is, equal to $[\frac{3g+4}{2}]$. It was shown by Buser-Seppälä [10] that any two real structures are real analytically conjugated.

We may observe that the number of non-conjugated real structures of Teichmüller space is much bigger than the number of real structures on marked Schottky space. One reason for this phenomena is essentially due to the fact that not every conformal automorphism of a closed Riemann surface lifts to a Schottky uniformization of it.

3. Extended Schottky groups

In this section we recall some of the definitions on (extended) Kleinian groups we will need in this paper. In particular, we focus on certain Kleinian and extended Kleinian groups, called Schottky and extended Schottky groups (these last ones will be the real points of marked Schottky space). A good source on Kleinian groups is, for instance, the books [28, 33].

3.1. **(Extended) Kleinian groups.**

The conformal automorphisms of the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are the Möbius transformations and its anti-conformal ones are the *extended Möbius transformations* (the composition of the standard reflection $j(z) = \overline{z}$ with a Möbius transformation). Let us denote by $\mathbb{M}$ the group of Möbius transformations and by $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}$ the group generated by $\mathbb{M}$ and j. Clearly, $\mathbb{M}$ is an index two subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}$. By the Poincaré extension [28], $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}$ acts as the group of hyperbolic isometries of hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$; in this case, $\mathbb{M}$ is the group of orientation-preserving ones.

The Möbius transformations are classified into *parabolic*, *loxodromic* (including hyperbolic) and *elliptic* transformations. Similarly, the extended Möbius transformations are classified into *pseudo-parabolic* (the square is parabolic), *glide-reflection* (the square is hyperbolic), *pseudo-elliptic* (the square is elliptic), *reflection* (of order two admitting a circle of fixed points on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$) and *imaginary reflection* (of order two and having no fixed points on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$) [28].

A *Kleinian group* (respectively, an *extended Kleinian group*) is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{M}$ (respectively, a discrete subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}$ necessarily containing extended Möbius transformations); its region of discontinuity is the open subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (it might be empty) of points on which it acts discontinuously.

If $K_1 < K_2 < \widehat{\mathbb{M}}$, where K_1 has finite index in K_2, then K_1 is discrete if and only if K_2 is discrete (both have the same region of discontinuity). In particular, K is an extended Kleinian group if and only if $K^+ := K \cap \mathbb{M}$ is a Kleinian group. A (extended) Kleinian group is *non-elementary* if its limit set (the complement of its region of discontinuity) contains at least 3 points (then infinitely many points).

If K is a (extended) Kleinian group with non-empty region of discontinuity Ω_K, then we may consider the quotient orbifold Ω_K/K. If K is Kleinian group acting freely on Ω_K (no non-trivial element of K has a fixed point in Ω_K), then Ω_K/G is a Riemann surface. If K is an extended Kleinian group and K^+ acts freely on Ω_K, then Ω_K/K is a Klein surface (with non-empty boundary if and only if K contains reflections). The group K is called *geometrically finite* if there is a finite-sided fundamental polyhedron in $\mathbb{H}^3$ for K^+, in particular, it is finitely generated. It is known that K is geometrically finite if and only if the 3-orbifold $M_K = (\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_K)/K$ is essentially compact [28].

Two (extended) Kleinian groups, say K_1 and K_2, are called *topologically equivalent* if there is a orientation-preserving homeomorphism $W : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ so that $WK_1W^{-1} = K_2$.

3.2. **(Extended) Function groups.** Let us consider a pair (K, Δ), where K is a finitely generated (extended) Kleinian group and Δ is a connected component of its region of discontinuity which is invariant under the action of K. If $K = K^+$ we say that the above pair is a *function group*; otherwise, an *extended function group*. It is clear from the definition that if (K, Δ) is an extended function group, then (K^+, Δ) is a function group; but the reciprocal is not always true.

3.3. **Torsion-free full function groups.** If K is a torsion-free finitely generated Kleinian group with connected region of discontinuity Ω_K, then we call the pair (K, Ω_K) a *torsion-free full function group*. In this case, the quotient $M_K = (\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_K)/K$ is a (bordered) Kleinian 3-manifold, whose interior is the hyperbolic 3-manifold $M_K^0 = \mathbb{H}^3/K$ and whose conformal boundary is the (analytically finite) Riemann surface $S_K = \Omega_K/K$.

If M is a (bordered) orientable 3-manifold homeomorphic to M_K, then we say that K provides a *Kleinian structure* on M.

Let M_K and M_Γ be the Kleinian manifolds associated to any two torsion-free full functions groups (K, Ω_K) and (Γ, Ω_Γ), respectively. A *conformal diffeomorphism* (respectively, *anti-conformal diffeomorphism*) between them is an orientation-preserving (respectively, orientation reversing) diffeomorphism $f : M_K \to M_\Gamma$ whose restriction to the interiors hyperbolic manifolds $f : M_K^0 \to M_\Gamma^0$ is an isometry (note that necessarily its restriction to the conformal boundaries $f : S_K \to S_\Gamma$ is a conformal/anticonformal homeomorphism between Riemann surfaces).

3.4. **Schottky groups.** We declare the trivial group as the Schottky group of rank zero.

A *Schottky group* of rank $g \geq 1$ is a Kleinian group with non-empty region of discontinuity, isomorphic to a free group of rank g and whose elements, different from the identity, are loxodromic transformations. These groups may equivalently be defined in a geometrical way as follows. Let us consider a collection of pairwise disjoint simple loops $C_1, C_1', \ldots, C_g, C_g'$ in the Riemann sphere, all of them bounding a common open domain $\mathcal{D}$ of connectivity $2g$. If $A_1, \ldots, A_g \in \mathbb{M}$ are loxodromic elements so that, for each $j = 1, \ldots, g$, it holds that (i) $A_j(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ and (ii) $A_j(C_j) = C_j'$, then the group $\langle A_1, \ldots, A_g \rangle$ is a Schottky group of rank g (see, for instance, [11, 30]). This geometrical description permits to observe that any two Schottky groups of the same rank are topologically equivalent.

If K is a Schottky group of rank g, then its region of discontinuity Ω_K is non-empty and connected (so (K, Ω_K) is an example of a torsion-free full function group) and the quotient space $S = \Omega_K/K$ is a closed Riemann surface of genus g. As a consequence of the retrosection theorem [8, 20], every closed Riemann surface can be obtained in this way up to isomorphisms. The quotient space $(\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_K)/K$ is homeomorphic to a handlebody of genus g; we say that K induces a *Schottky structure* on the handlebody.

3.5. **Extended Schottky groups and their geometric structure.** An extended Kleinian group whose index two orientation-preserving half is a Schottky group of rank g is called an *extended Schottky group* of rank g. Basic examples of extended Schottky groups are the following ones:

(1) *Cyclic extended Schottky groups*: Cyclic groups generated by either a reflection or an imaginary reflection (in which case the index two orientation-preserving half is the trivial group; the Schottky group of genus zero) or by a glide-reflection (the index two orientation-preserving half is a Schottky group of rank one). These are examples of extended Schottky groups of rank zero and one.
(2) *Real Schottky groups*: Extended Kleinian groups K generated by a Schottky group Γ keeping invariant a circle C and by the reflection τ_C of such circle (in this case, $K^+ = \Gamma$). Let Δ be any of the two open discs bounded by C, let K_Δ be the K-stabilizer of Δ, Ω_K the region of discontinuity of K and $\Omega_C = \Omega_K \cap C$. Two cases may happen as described below.
   (a) $K^+ = K_\Delta$ (i.e. $K^+ = \Gamma$ is a Fuchsian group of the second kind), in which case, $\Omega_K/K = (\Delta \cup \Omega_C)/K_\Delta$ is a compact bordered orientable surface of topological genus h and with m boundary components (if γ is the rank of K^+, then $\gamma + 1 = 2h + m$). We say that K is of signature $(+; h; m)$.
   (b) $K^+ \neq K_\Delta$. In this case, K_Δ must contain glide-reflections and $\Omega_K/K = (\Delta \cup \Omega_C)/K_\Delta$ is a compact bordered non-orientable surface of topological genus h and with m boundary components (if γ is the rank of K^+, then $\gamma + 1 = h + m$). We say that K is of signature $(-; h; m)$.

A geometric structure of extended Schottky groups, in terms of the Klein-Maskit combination theorems [31], was provided in [13] using the above basic extended Schottky groups. A different approach was obtained in [17] using 3-dimensional techniques.

Theorem 2 (Structural decomposition theorem of extended Schottky groups [13])**.**

(1) *Every extended Schottky group is the free product (in the Klein-Maskit's combination theorem sense) of cyclic extended Schottky groups and real Schottky groups.*
(2) *Any group K constructed, in the sense of the Klein-Maskit combination theorems, using "a" cyclic groups generated by reflections, "b" cyclic groups generated by imaginary reflections, "c" cyclic groups generated by loxodromic transformations, "d" cyclic groups*

generated by glide-reflections and "e" real Schottky groups, is an extended Schottky group if and only if $a + b + d + e > 0$. *Moreover, if the "e" real Schottky groups are of rank* $1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_e$, *then* K^+ *is a Schottky group of rank*

$$g = a + b + 2c + 2d + e - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{e} \gamma_j.$$

In this case, the tuple $(a, b, c, d, e; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_e)$ *is called the signature of of* K.

The signature of an extended Schottky group is not sufficient to describe the structure of it; one also needs to take care of the topological structure of the real Schottky groups. We next observe that the geometrical factors in Theorem 2 (in particular, the signature of an extended Schottky group) are "essentially" uniquely determined by the extended Schottky group.

Lemma 3. *If* K *is an extended Schottky group of rank* g *of signature* $(a, b, c, d, e; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_e)$, *then (i) the values* a, b, $c + d$, e, *and (ii) the* e *real Schottky groups are uniquely determined by* K

Proof. The quotient hyperbolic 3-orbifold (with boundary) $\mathbb{H}^3/K$ has exactly "b" points in its interior where it fails to be a manifold, "a" boundary components being geodesic discs, "e" boundary components being geodesic surfaces (these components also determine the structure of each of the "e" real Schottky groups used in the decomposition of K). This determines the values of a, b, e and the structure of the e real Schottky groups. Now, if the "e" real Schottky groups have signatures $(+; h_1; m_1), \ldots, (+; h_{e_1}; m_{e_1})$, $(-; r_1; n_1), \ldots, (-; r_{e_2}; n_{e_2})$, then the quotient compact surface Ω_K/K (where Ω_K is the region of discontinuity of K) is the connected sum of $b + 2d + r_1 + \cdots + r_{e_2}$ real projective planes with an orientable surface of genus $c + h_1 + \cdots + h_{e_1}$ and it has exactly $a + m_1 + \cdots + m_{e_1} + n_1 + \cdots n_{e_2}$ boundary components. In this way, $c + d$ (the total number of real projective planes in the connected sum) is uniquely determined. □

3.6. **The number of topologically equivalence classes of extended Schottky groups.** The structural decomposition theorem 2 permits to obtain the number of topologically non-conjugated extended Schottky groups of a fixed rank g. This part is not needed in the proof of Theorem 1 and it is included as a matter of general interest.

Proposition 4. *If* $g \geq 0$ *and*

$$\Delta_g = \left\{ (e; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_e) : 1 \leq e,\ 1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_e,\ e + \sum_{j=1}^{e} \gamma_j \leq g + 1 \right\},$$

then the number of topologically different extended Schottky groups of rank g *is*

$$M_g = \left[\frac{g+4}{2}\right]\left[\frac{g+5}{2}\right] - 2 + \sum_{f=(e;\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_e)\in\Delta_g} N_f \prod_{j=1}^{t(f)} T_{\gamma_j}\left(T_{\gamma_j}+1\right)\left(T_{\gamma_j}+2\right)\cdots\left(T_{\gamma_j}+l_j-1\right)/l_j!$$

where

$$T_\gamma = 2\cdot(2\gamma-1)!/\gamma!, \quad N_f = \left[\frac{g_f+3}{2}\right]\left[\frac{g_f+5}{2}\right] - \delta_f,$$

$$g_f = g - e - \sum_{j=1}^{e}\gamma_j, \quad \delta_f = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } g_f \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & \text{if } g_f \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For instance, $M_0 = 2$ (these correspond to the cyclic groups generated by either a reflection or an imaginary reflection), $M_1 = 6$ and $M_2 = 17$.

3.6.1. *Proof of Proposition 4.* Lemma 3, together Theorem 2, ensures that the topological type of an extended Schottky group K of rank g is uniquely determined by a tuple

$$(*) \quad (a, b, c, d, e; \{(+; h_1; m_1), \ldots, (+; h_{e_1}; m_{e_1}), (-; r_1; n_1), \ldots, (-; r_{e_2}; n_{e_2})\})$$

such that

$$a + b + d + e > 0, \quad e = e_1 + e_2,$$
$$2h_1 + m_1 \leq 2h_2 + m_2 \leq \cdots \leq 2h_{e_1} + m_{e_1}, \quad r_1 + n_1 \leq r_2 + n_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_{e_2} + n_{e_2},$$
$$g = a + b + 2c + 2d + e - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{e_1}(2h_j + m_j - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{e_2}(r_j + n_j - 1).$$

In this way, to compute M_g, we should count the number of such tuples.

Let us observe that if $b + d > 0$, then it is possible to change the c loxodromic generators by c glide-reflections in the structural decomposition provided in Theorem 2. It follows that, for $b + d > 0$, the above tuple $(*)$ determines the same topological class as the tuple

$$(**) \quad (a, b, 0, c + d, e; \{(+; h_1; m_1), \ldots, (+; h_{e_1}; m_{e_1}), (-; r_1; n_1), \ldots, (-; r_{e_2}; n_{e_2})\}).$$

In particular, M_g is equal to the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{F}_g$ formed of tuples

$$(a, b, c, d, e; \{(+; h_1; m_1), \ldots, (+; h_{e_1}; m_{e_1}), (-; r_1; n_1), \ldots, (-; r_{e_2}; n_{e_2})\})$$

such that

$$a + b + d + e > 0, \quad e = e_1 + e_2,$$
$$2h_1 + m_1 \leq 2h_2 + m_2 \leq \cdots \leq 2h_{e_1} + m_{e_1}, \quad r_1 + n_1 \leq r_2 + n_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_{e_2} + n_{e_2},$$
$$g = a + b + 2c + 2d + e - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{e_1}(2h_j + m_j - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{e_2}(h_j + m_j - 1),$$
$$b + d > 0 \implies c = 0.$$

If $\mathcal{G}_g$ is the set of tuples

$$(a, b, c, d, (e; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_e)),$$

where

(1) $a + b + d + e > 0$;
(2) $1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_e$;
(3) if $b + d > 0$, then $c = 0$; and
(4) $g = a + b + 2(c + d) + e - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{e} \gamma_j$,

then

$$\Theta : \mathcal{F}_g \to \mathcal{G}_g$$

which sends the tuple

$$(a, b, c, d, e; \{(+; h_1; m_1), \ldots, (+; h_{e_1}; m_{e_1}), (-; r_1; n_1), \ldots, (-; r_{e_2}; n_{e_2})\})$$

to the tuple

$$(a, b, c, d, (e; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_e)),$$

where

$$\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_e\} = \{2h_1 + m_1 - 1, \ldots, 2h_{e_1} + m_{e_1} - 1, r_1 + n_1 - 1, \ldots, r_{e_2} + n_{e_2} - 1\},$$

is a surjective map. This asserts that

$$M_g = \sum_{q\in\mathcal{G}_g} |\Theta^{-1}(q)|$$

As $\Theta^{-1}((a,b,c,d,(0;-)))$ only consists of the tuple $(a,b,c,d,0;\{-\})$, we may write

$$M_g = |\mathcal{G}_g^0| + \sum_{q=(a,b,c,d,(e;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_e))\in\mathcal{G}_g, e\geq 1} |\Theta^{-1}(q)|$$

where $\mathcal{G}_g^0$ consists of all tuples $(a,b,c,d,(0;-)) \in \mathcal{G}_g$.

Lemma 5. $|\mathcal{G}_g^0| = \left[\frac{g+4}{2}\right]\left[\frac{g+5}{2}\right] - 2$.

Proof. The tuples $(a,b,c,d,0;\{-\}) \in \mathcal{G}_g$ are of two types: (i) the ones of the form $(a,0,c,0)$, where $a \geq 1$ and $g+1 = a+2c$, and (ii) the ones of the form $(a,b,0,d)$, where $b+d \geq 1$ and $g+1 = a+b+2d$.

To count the tuples of type (i), we only need to count the values $a \in \{1,2,\ldots,g+1\}$ so that $g+1-a$ is even. If g is odd, then $a \in \{2,4,\ldots,g+1\}$ and if g is even, then $a \in \{1,3,\ldots,g+1\}$. In this way, we obtain $[(g+2)/2]$ such tuples.

To count the tuples of type (ii) we consider two cases separately: $b = 0$ and $b \geq 1$.

If $b = 0$, then we need to count those tuples $(a,0,0,d)$, where $d \geq 1$ and $g+1-a = 2d$. If g is odd, then $a \in \{0,2,4,\ldots,g-1\}$, from which we get $(g+1)/2$ tuples. If g is even, then $a \in \{1,3,\ldots,g-1\}$, from which we obtain $g/2$ tuples.

If $b \geq 1$, then (as $a+b \geq 1$) one has that $g+1-(a+b) \in \{0,\ldots,g\}$ must be even. If g is odd, then $a+b \in \{2,4,\ldots,g+1\}$; that is, we have $(g+1)(g+3)/4$ tuples. If g is even, then $a+b \in \{1,3,\ldots,g+1\}$; that is, we have $(g+2)^2/4$ tuples. □

Next, we proceed to compute

$$\widehat{M}_g := \sum_{q=(a,b,c,d,(e;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_e))\in\mathcal{G}_g, e\geq 1} |\Theta^{-1}(q)|$$

Let us observe that all possible tuples $(e;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_e)$ that appear inside a tuple in $\mathcal{G}_g$ belong to the set Δ_g as previously defined.

Next, to obtain the value of $\widehat{M}_g$ we may proceed as follows. For each $f = (e;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_e) \in \Delta_g$ let N_f be the number of solutions (a,b,c,d) so that $(a,b,c,d;f) \in \mathcal{G}_g$ and let B_f be the number of e-tuples of topologically non-conjugated real Schottky groups of respective ranks $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_e$. Then $\widehat{M}_g = \sum_{f\in\Delta_g} N_f B_f$.

Lemma 6. *If $f = (e;\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_e) \in \Delta_g$, then $N_f = \left[\frac{g_f+3}{2}\right]\left[\frac{g_f+5}{2}\right] - \delta_f$, where*

$$g_f = g - e - \sum_{j=1}^{e}\gamma_j, \quad \delta_f = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } g_f \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & \text{if } g_f \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Proof. A tuple (a,b,c,d) of non-negative integers will satisfy that $(a,b,c,d;f) \in \mathcal{G}_g$ if $a+b+2(c+d)-1 = g_f$. If $b = d = 0$, then we are looking at pairs (a,c) of non-negative integers so that $a+2c = 1+g_f$, from which we observe that there are exactly $1+\left[\frac{g_f+1}{2}\right]$ of them. Now, if $b+d > 0$, then (as $c = 0$ in this case), we are looking for triples (a,b,c) of non-negative integers

so that $a+b+2d = 1+g_f$. If $d = 0$, then $b \in \{1, \ldots, 1+g_f\}$ and a is uniquely determined from the value of b; so we get $(1+g_f)$ such triples. If $d > 0$, then $d \in \{1, \ldots, \left[\frac{g_f+1}{2}\right]\}$ and, for each such value of d the value of $b \in \{0, 1, \ldots, g_f+1-2d\}$ and a is uniquely determined; so we obtain $\left[\frac{g_f+1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{g_f+2}{2}\right]$ such triples.

□

Lemma 7. *If $f = (e; \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_e) \in \Delta_g$, let $l_1, ..., l_{t(f)}$ be so that*

$$\rho_{l_1} = \gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_{l_1} < \rho_{l_2} = \gamma_{l_1+1} = \cdots = \gamma_{l_1+l_2} < \cdots < \rho_{l_t} = \gamma_{l_1+\cdots+l_{t(f)-1}+1} = \cdots = \gamma_{l_1+\cdots+l_{t(f)}} = \gamma_e.$$

Then

$$B_f = \prod_{j=1}^{t(f)} T_{\gamma_j}\left(T_{\gamma_j}+1\right)\left(T_{\gamma_j}+2\right)\cdots\left(T_{\gamma_j}+l_j-1\right)/l_j!$$

Proof. For $n \in \{l_1, \ldots, l_{t(f)}\}$, we need to count the number of (unordered) n-tuples of real Schottky groups of the same rank ρ_n up to topological equivalence. We may identify such a set with the set $X_{n,T_{\rho_n}}$, where

$$X_{n,L} = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) : x_j \in \{1, ..., L\}\}/\mathfrak{S}_n$$

and $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is the permutation group on n letters acting naturally by permutation on the coordinates.

Let $Q(L,n)$ be the cardinality of $X_{n,L}$. It can be seen that $Q(L,1) = L$, $Q(L,2) = L(L+1)/2$ and $Q(L,n) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} Q(j, n-1)$. The last equality permits to obtain

$$Q(L,n) = L(L+1)(L+2)\cdots(L+n-1)/n!$$

Now, as consequence of Lemma 8 below, we obtain the desired formula. □

Lemma 8. *The number of topologically different real Schottky groups of a fixed rank γ is $C_\gamma = 2\cdot(2\gamma-1)!/\gamma!$*

Proof. Take 2γ pairwise disjoint circles $C_1, \ldots, C_{2\gamma}$, each one orthogonal to the unit circle and ordered in counterclockwise order, so that C_{j+1} is the rotation of C_j by an angle of $2\pi/\gamma$. Next, we need to consider all possible pairings of these 2γ circles that can be done by some hyperbolic transformation keeping invariant the unit circle (for each pairing we have two possibilities as the pairing map may keep invariant or not the unit disc). Also, as we want those which are topologically different, we must consider as equivalent any two set of pairings obtained one from the other by a rotation of angle an integer multiple of $2\pi/\gamma$. Such number sets of (equivalent) pairing is then

$$C_\gamma = 2^\gamma(2\gamma-1)(2\gamma-3)\cdots 5\cdot 3\cdot 1)/\gamma = 2\cdot(2\gamma-1)!/\gamma!$$

□

For instance, the number of topologically non-conjugated real Schottky groups of ranks one, two and three are respectively $T_1 = 2$, $T_2 = 6$ and $T_3 = 40$.

4. Quasiconformal deformation spaces of Kleinian groups

In this section we recall some definitions and some of the basics on quasiconformal defomation spaces of finitely generated (extended) Kleinian groups. For details one may see e.g. [1, 3, 7, 22, 23, 36, 38].

4.1. **Quasiconformal homeomorphisms.** If $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are two non-empty domains, then an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $W : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ is called *quasiconformal* if it satisfies the following two properties:

(i) W has distributional partial derivatives with respect to z and $\overline{z}$ which can be represented by locally integrable functions W_z and $W_{\overline{z}}$, respectively, on Ω_1;
(ii) there is a measurable function $\mu : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ (called a *complex dilation* of W) with $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$ ($\|\ \|_\infty$ being the essential supreme norm) such that W satisfies the Beltrami equation

$$W_{\overline{z}}(z) = \mu(z) W_z(z) \quad a.e.\ z \in \Omega_1.$$

The existence and uniqueness of quasiconformal homeomorphisms is due to Morrey [35].

Theorem 9 (Measurable Riemann mapping theorem [3, 35])**.** *If $\mu : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function with $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$, then there is, and it is unique, a quasiconformal homeomorphism $W_\mu : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, with complex dilation μ, fixing the points ∞, 0 and 1. Moreover, if μ vary continuously or real-analytically or holomorphically and z_0 is a fixed point, then $W_\mu(z_0)$ varies also in the same way.*

Two (extended) Kleinian groups, say K_1 and K_2, are called *quasiconformally equivalent* if there is a quasiconformal homeomorphism $W : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ so that $WK_1W^{-1} = K_2$. We should observe that, for finitely generated Kleinian groups, to be topologically equivalent is the same as to be quasiconformally equivalent.

4.2. **Quasiconformal deformation spaces of (extended) function groups.** In this section, (K, Δ) will be an (extended) function group and let (K^+, Δ) be its corresponding function group (note that we may have that $K \neq K^+$ or $K = K^+$).

4.2.1. *Beltrami coefficients of* (K, Δ). We denote by $L^\infty(K, \Delta)$ the Banach space (with the essential supreme norm $\|\ \|_\infty$) whose elements are those measurable functions $\mu : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$\begin{cases} \mu(z) = 0, & \forall z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \Delta \\ \mu(k(z))\overline{k_z(z)} = k_z(z)\mu(z), & \text{if } k \in K^+; \\ \mu(k(z))\overline{k_{\overline{z}}(z)} = k_{\overline{z}}(z)\overline{\mu}(z), & \text{if } k \in K - K^+. \end{cases}$$

The elements of the unit ball $L^\infty_1(K, \Delta)$ in $L^\infty(K, \Delta)$ are called the *Beltrami coefficients* of (K, Δ).

By the measurable Riemann mapping theorem, for each Beltrami coefficient $\mu \in L^\infty_1(K, \Delta)$ there is a unique quasiconformal homeomorphism $W_\mu : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, with complex dilation μ, fixing the points 0, 1 and ∞. Now, for each $k \in K^+$ (respectively, $k \in K - K^+$), the element $k_\mu = W_\mu k {W_\mu}^{-1}$ is again a Möbius transformation (respectively, an extended Möbius transformation). If we set $K_\mu = W_\mu K {W_\mu}^{-1}$, then (K_μ, Δ_μ) is a (extended) function group, where $\Delta_\mu := W_\mu(\Delta)$. The map $\chi_\mu : K \to K_\mu : k \mapsto k_\mu$ is an isomorphism.

4.2.2. *The quasiconformal deformation space* $\mathcal{Q}(K, \Delta)$. Two elements $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in L^\infty_1(K, \Delta)$ are called *quasiconformal equivalent* ($\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$), if χ_{μ_1} and χ_{μ_2} are the same isomorphism. If the group K is non-elementary, then this is equivalent to say that W_{μ_1} and W_{μ_2} coincide on the limit set of K.

The space $\mathcal{Q}(K,\Delta) = L_1^\infty(K,\Delta)/\sim$, of quasiconformal equivalent classes of Beltrami coefficients for (K,Δ), is called the *quasiconformal deformation space* of K supported on Δ. In the case that $\Delta = \Omega(K)$, we use the notations $L_1^\infty(K)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ for the previous spaces.

As the (extended) function group K is finitely generated, it is well known that $\mathcal{Q}(K,\Delta)$ is (see [29])

(i) a complex manifold of finite dimension, if $K = K^+$, and
(ii) a real analytic manifold of finite dimension, if $K \neq K^+$.

It is also known (see [7, 36]) that, if (K,Δ) is a function group with Δ simply connected, then $\mathcal{Q}(K,\Delta)$ is a model of the Teichmüller space of the orbifold Δ/K.

Remark 10. In Section 6 we review an explicit model of $\mathcal{Q}(K)$, when K is a Schottky group of rank g; this being the marked Schottky space $\mathcal{MS}_g$.

4.3. **The modular group of** (K^+,Δ)**.** In this section, we assume that (K^+,Δ) is a function group. Let $T : \Delta \to S = \Delta/K^+$ be a regular planar branched covering with K^+ as its deck group of cover transformations (if K^+ acts freely on Δ, then T will be a regular covering map). Since K^+ is finitely generated, Ahlfor's finiteness theorem [2] asserts that S is an analytically finite Riemann orbifold, that is, the complement of a finite number of points of a closed Riemann orbifold with a finite number of cone points. As K^+ is non-elementary, the universal cover space of S is the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$. Let us consider a universal cover $P : \mathbb{H}^2 \to S$, whose deck group is given by a Fuchsian group Γ of the first kind (that is, all the boundary of $\mathbb{H}^2$ is the limit set of Γ). There is a torsion-free normal subgroup $N \lhd \Gamma$ and a regular planar covering $Q : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \Delta = \mathbb{H}^2/N$ with N as deck group so that $P = TQ$.

The regular planar branched covering $T : \Delta \to S$ is (topologically) determined by a finite collection of pairwise disjoint loops $\{w_m = \alpha_m^{k_m}\}$, where each α_m is a simple loop and $k_m \in \{1,2,3,...\}$, in the sense that the above is a highest regular planar branched covering of the orbifold S with the property that all the above loops w_m lift to loops in Δ [28]. Such a collection of loops is called a *defining set of loops* for $T : \Delta \to S$. Defining set of loops are not unique in general, but in here we have fixed one of them.

An *orbifold diffeomorphism* of S is a diffeomorphism of S which keeps invariant both the set of cone points and preserves the cone orders. These are exactly those difeomorphisms of S which lift under the universal (branched) covering $P : \mathbb{H}^2 \to S$. Note that an orbifold diffeomorphism which is isotopic to the identity acts as the identity on the cone points and it preserves the orientation. Let us denote by $\mathrm{Diff}_{orb}(S)$ the group of orbifold diffeomorphisms of S and by $\mathrm{Diff}^0_{orb}(S)$ its (necessarily normal) subgroup of consisting of the orbifold diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity. The index two orientation-preserving half of $\mathrm{Diff}_{orb}(S)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Diff}^+_{orb}(S)$ and consists of those orbifold diffeomorphisms of S that preserve the orientation. The *extended modular group* is defined by $Mod_{orb}(S) = \mathrm{Diff}_{orb}(S)/\mathrm{Diff}^0_{orb}(S)$ and its index two orientation-preserving half is the *modular group* $Mod^+_{orb}(S) = \mathrm{Diff}^+_{orb}(S)/\mathrm{Diff}^0_{orb}(S)$.

Let us denote by $H^*(K^+,\Delta) < Mod_{orb}(S)$ the subgroup consisting of those classes of orbifold diffeomorphisms that lift under T to diffeomorphisms of Δ. We denote by $H^*(K^+,\Delta)^+$ its index two orientation-preserving half, that is, consisting of those classes of orientation-preserving orbifold diffeomorphisms in $H^*(K^+,\Delta)$.

By the lifting property, each $h \in H^*(K^+,\Delta)$ induces a natural isomorphism $h_* : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ so that $h_*(N) = N$, in particular, it defines an isomorphism of $K^+ = \Gamma/N$. We set by $H(K^+,\Delta) \lhd H^*(K^+,\Delta)$

the normal subgroup formed by the classes of those orbifold diffeomorphisms which induce the identity isomorphism of K^+; we set by $H(K^+,\Delta)^+$ its index two orientation-preserving half.

If we denote by $Mod_{H(K^+,\Delta)^+}(S)$ the normalizer in $Mod_{orb}(S)$ of $H(K^+,\Delta)^+$, then clearly

$$H(K^+,\Delta)^+ \lhd H^*(K^+,\Delta) < Mod_{H(K^+,\Delta)^+}(S).$$

Proposition 11. $H^*(K^+,\Delta) = Mod_{H(K^+,\Delta)^+}(S)$.

Proof. Let $[h] \in Mod_{H(K^+,\Delta)^+}(S)$ and consider a defining set of loops for $T : \Delta \to S$, say $\{w_m = \alpha_m^{k_m}\}$, where α_m is a simple loop and $k_m \in \{1,2,3,...\}$. If α_m is a small loop around a disc containing one of the cone points (or one of the punctures), then $h(\alpha_m)$ will be a small loop around a disc containing another cone point (or a puncture), with the same cone order. It follows that $h(\alpha_m)^{k_m}$ lifts to a loop under $T : \Delta \to S$. If α_m does not bound a disc (or punctured disc) on S, then we consider its Dehn-twist $D_{\alpha_m} : S \to S$. It is not difficult to see that $D_{\alpha_m}^{k_m} \in H(K^+,\Delta)^+$. As $hD_{\alpha_m}^{k_m}h^{-1} = D_{h(\alpha_m)}^{k_m} \in H(K^+,\Delta)^+$, we see that necessarily $h(\alpha_m)^{k_m}$ lifts to a loop under $T : \Delta \to S$. It follows that h preserves the collection of loops that lift to loops under T, in particular, $[h] \in H^*(K^+,\Delta)$. □

Remark 12. As already noted, the quasiconformal deformation space $Q(\Gamma,\mathbb{H}^2)$ is a model of the *Teichmüller space* $\mathcal{T}(S)$ and it is a universal cover space of $Q(K^+,\Delta)$ [7]. Maskit [29] noted that the universal covering group is given by $H(K^+,\Delta)^+$, that is, $\pi_1(Q(K^+,\Delta)) \cong H(K^+,\Delta)^+$.

Proposition 13. *If $S = \Delta/K^+$ is a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 3$, then the quotient $H^*(K^+,\Delta)/H(K^+,\Delta)^+$ acts on $Q(K^+,\Delta)$ as the full group of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic automorphisms and that $H^*(K^+,\Delta)^+/H(K^+,\Delta)^+$ acts as the full group of holomorphic automorphisms.*

Proof. It is well known that the modular group $Mod_{orb}(S)$ acts as group of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}(S)$; the group $Mod_{orb}^+(S)$ acting as group of holomorphic automorphisms. Royden's theorem [38] asserts that, for $g \geq 3$, $Mod_{orb}(S)$ is the full group of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}(S)$. The desired result now follows from Proposition 11. □

If Δ is the entire region of discontinuity of K^+, then we will use the notations $H^*(K^+)$, $H(K^+)$ and so on.

Remark 14. In the particukar case that K^+ is a Schottky group, Luft [24] proved that $H(K^+)^+$ is generated by Dehn-twists along simple loops on $S = \Delta/K^+$ which lift to simple loops to Δ.

4.4. **The case of torsion-free full function groups.** Let us fix a torsion-free full function group (K,Ω_K) and its associated Kleinian 3-manifold M_K.

A marking of M_K is a pair (M_Γ, f), where M_Γ is the Kleinian 3-manifold associated to a torsion-free full function group (Γ,Ω_Γ) and $f : M_K \to M_\Gamma$ is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism.

Two markings (M_1, f_1) and (M_2, f_2) are said to be *Teichmüller equivalent* if there is a conformal diffeomorphism $h : M_1 \to M_2$ so that $f_2^{-1}hf_1$ is isotopic to the identity.

The *Teichmüller space* of M_K, denoted as $\mathcal{T}(M_K)$, is defined as the set of equivalence classes of markings of M_K.

Let $\mathrm{Diff}(M_K)$ be the group of diffeomorphisms of M_K, $\mathrm{Diff}^+(M_K)$ be its index two subgroup of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms and $\mathrm{Diff}_0(M_K)$ be its normal subgroup of diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity. The quotient group $\mathrm{Mod}(M_K) = \mathrm{Diff}(M_K)/\mathrm{Diff}_0(M_K)$ is called the *extended modular group* of M_K and $\mathrm{Mod}^+(M_K) = \mathrm{Diff}^+(M_K)/\mathrm{Diff}_0(M_K)$ its *modular group*. An element $[h] \in \mathrm{Mod}(M_K)$ acts on $\mathcal{T}(M_K)$ by the following rule: $[h]([M, f]) = [M, fh^{-1}]$. The *moduli space* of M_K is defined by $\mathcal{M}(M_K) = \mathcal{T}(M_K)/\mathrm{Mod}^+(M_K)$.

The following result states that if K is also geometrically finite, then $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ provides a complex manifold structure for $\mathcal{T}(M_K)$.

Proposition 15. *If (K, Ω_K) is a torsion-free full function group and K is also geometrically finite, then $\mathcal{T}(M_K)$ can be naturally identified with $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ so that* $\mathrm{Mod}(M_K)$ *corresponds to the group $H^*(K)/H(K)^+$, the full group of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{Q}(K)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_K : \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_K \to M_K$ be a universal covering with K as deck group. Let (M_Γ, f) be a marking of M_K and let $\pi_\Gamma : \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_\Gamma \to M_\Gamma$ be a universal covering with Γ as deck group. We may assume (up to isotopy) that $f : S_K \to S_\Gamma$ is a quasiconformal diffeomorphism. We may lift the diffeomorphism f to a diffeomorphism $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_K \to \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_\Gamma$ satisfying that, for every $k \in K$, it holds that $\widehat{f}\, k = \theta(k)\widehat{f}$, where $\theta : K \to \Gamma$ is an isomorphism of groups. The restriction $\widehat{f} : \Omega_K \to \Omega_\Gamma$ is a quasiconformal diffeomorphism. As K is geometrically finite, it follows from Marden's isomorphism theorem [27] that we may assume $\widehat{f} : \Omega_K \to \Omega_\Gamma$ to be the restriction of a quasiconformal homeomorphism of the Riemann sphere that conjugates K into Γ.

Conversely, again as a consequence of Marden's isomorphism theorem [27], each quasiconformal diffeomorphism $h : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ so that $hKh^{-1} = \Gamma$, extends to an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\widehat{h} : \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_K \to \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega_\Gamma$ keeping the conjugacy property. It follows that $\widehat{h}$ induces a marking of M_K making the above two processes inverse to each other. □

5. Some real structures of quasiconformal deformation spaces

In this section we describe real structures of quasiconformal deformation spaces defined from extended function groups.

Let us fix some extended function group (K, Δ) and let us consider the quasiconformal deformation spaces $\mathcal{Q}(K^+, \Delta)$ (which is a complex manifold of some complex dimension d) and the quasiconformal defomation space $\mathcal{Q}(K, \Delta)$ (which is a real analytic manifold of real dimension d). As

(i) each Beltrami coefficient for K is also a Beltrami differential for K^+ and
(ii) both K and K^+ have the same limit set,

there is a natural real analytic embedding

$$i_{K,\Delta} : \mathcal{Q}(K, \Delta) \to \mathcal{Q}(K^+, \Delta)$$

so that $[0] \in i_{K,\Delta}(\mathcal{Q}(K, \Delta)) \subset \mathcal{Q}(K^+, \Delta)$.

Remark 16. More generally, if (Γ, Δ_Γ) is a function group so that $\Gamma_\mu = K^+$ and $W_\mu(\Delta_\Gamma) = \Delta$, for some $[\mu] \in \mathcal{Q}(\Gamma, \Delta_\Gamma)$, then the above provides a real analytic embedding $j : \mathcal{Q}(K, \Delta) \to \mathcal{Q}(\Gamma, \Delta_\Gamma)$ so that $j([0]) = [\mu]$.

Next we proceed to observe that the real analytic embedded manifold $i_{K,\Delta}(\mathcal{Q}(K,\Delta))$ is a connected component of a real structure of $\mathcal{Q}(K^+,\Delta)$ induced by the group K.

Theorem 17. *Let (K,Δ) be an extended function group. Then*

(i) *Every extended Möbius transformation $\tau \in K - K^+$ induces a real structure τ^* on $\mathcal{Q}(K^+,\Delta)$ defined by*

$$\tau^*([\mu(z)]) = \left[\frac{\tau_{\overline{z}}(z)\overline{\mu}(\tau(z))}{\overline{\tau_{\overline{z}}(z)}}\right]. \tag{1}$$

(ii) *By construction, $i_{(K,\Delta)}(\mathcal{Q}(K,\Delta)) \subset \mathcal{Q}(K^+,\Delta)$ is a connected component of the part of fixed points of the real structure τ^*.*

(iii) *Moreover, the real structures induced by any two elements of $K - K^+$ are the same.*

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) are just a direct computation. Let us proceed to check (iii). Let $\eta, \tau \in K - K^+$ and $[\mu] \in \mathcal{Q}(K^+,\Delta)$. If $T \in K^+$ is such that $\eta = T\tau$, then the equality $\eta^* = \tau^*$ (as defined above) is consequence of $\mu(T(z))\overline{T_z(z)} = \mu(z)T_z(z)$ and $(T\tau)_{\overline{z}} = T_z(\tau)\tau_{\overline{z}}$. □

Remark 18. In general, one should not expect that every real structure, with non-empty real part, of $\mathcal{Q}(K^+,\Delta)$ comes as above (it might be that Δ has real a structure normalizing K^+ which is not the restriction of an extended Möbius transformation). For the case of Schottky groups, part (1) of Theorem 1 asserts that every real structure of the quasiconformal deformation space of a Schottky group, with non-empty real part, is induced from an extended Schottky group as explained above.

5.1. **Some words on the Schottky situation.** Theorem 1 asserts that every real structure with real points on the quasiconformal deformation space of a Schottky group of rank g is induced by an extended Schottky group of rank g (as indicated in Theorem 17). This means that the number of such real structures, up to holomorphic automorphisms, is bounded above by the number M_g (computed in Theorem 4) of topologically non-conjugated extended Schottky groups of rank g. On the other hand, for $g = 1$, there is exactly one real structure up to conjugacy by holomorphic automorphisms, but $M_1 = 6$. Similarly, by Theorem 1, for $g = 2$ there are exactly three real structures with real points, up to conjugacy by holomorphic automorphisms, but $M_2 = 17$. This discrepancy between the number M_g and the number of real structures with real points, up to conjugacy, happens because there are topologically non-conjugated extended Schottky groups inducing the same real structure. Let us make this more precise in the cases $g = 1, 2$.

(1) Let K be an extended Schottky group of rank one, $K^+ = \langle A\rangle$ be its index two orientation preserving Schottky group and let E be the unique elliptic transformation of order two commuting with A (both have the same fixed points). If $\eta \in K - K^+$, then $K_\eta = \langle A, E\eta\rangle$ is an extended Schottky group of rank one, with orientation-preserving half $K_\eta^+ = K^+$ (which might or not be topologically conjugated to K) and inducing the same real structure on $\mathcal{MS}_1 = \Delta^*$ as the one induced by K. For instance, if we start with the extended Schottky group $K = \langle \tau_1(z) = 1/\overline{z}, \tau_2(z) = 4/\overline{z}\rangle$, then $A(z) = 4z$ and $E(z) = -z$. In this example, by taking $\eta = \tau_1$ ($E\tau_1(z) = -1/\overline{z}$ is an imaginary reflection), the above procedure provides the extended Schottky group of rank one $K_{\tau_1} = \langle A, E\tau_1\rangle = \langle E\tau_1, \tau_2\rangle$. As K uniformizes an bordered annulus and K_{τ_1} uniformizes a bordered Möbius band, these groups two are not topologically conjugated; but they induces the same real structure. As we will see later, all extended Schottky groups of rank one induces the same real structure.

(2) If $K^+ = \langle A_1, A_2\rangle$ is a Schottky group of rank two, then $E = A_1A_2 - A_2A_1$ is an elliptic transformation of order two satisfying that $EA_jE = A_j^{-1}$ (so it induces the identity automorphism of $\mathcal{MS}_2$). If Ω is the region of discontinuity of K^+ and $S = \Omega/K^+$ is the uniformized Riemann surface of genus two, then E induces the hyperelliptic involution on S [18]. Now, if K is an extended Schottky group whose orientation-preserving half is K^+ and $\eta \in K - K^+$, then $K_\eta = \langle K^+, \eta E\rangle$ still an extended Schottky group of rank two with K^+ as its orientation-preserving half (which might or not be topologically conjugated to K) and both inducing the same real structure of $\mathcal{MS}_2$. Now, we may define that two extended Schottky groups of rank two are twisted-equivalent if we may apply the above procedure to one of them (with some of its extended Möbius transformations) to obtain an extended Schottky group topologically equivalent to the second one. It happens that there are exactly six twisted equivalence classes. We will see that these define exactly three real structures, up to conjugacy,

6. Marked Schottky spaces and their real structures

The quasiconformal deformation space of a Schottky group of rank $g \geq 1$ turns out to be a connected complex manifold, of dimension one if $g = 1$ and of dimension $3(g-1)$ if $g \geq 2$ [7, 8, 36]. As any two Schottky groups of the same rank are quasiconformally equivalent, their respective quasiconformal deformation spaces are isomorphic. Next, we proceed to recall a basic model of this quasiconformal deformation space, called the marked Schottky space. This model is the punctured unit disc for $g = 1$ and an open domain of $(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\})^{3g-3}$ for $g \geq 2$.

6.1. **Marked Schottky groups.** A *marked Schottky group* of rank $g \geq 1$ is a tuple $(A_1, ..., A_g)$, where each A_j is a loxodromic transformations and $\langle A_1, ..., A_g\rangle$ (the group generated by all these transformations) is a Schottky group of rank g. Two marked Schottky groups $(A_1, ..., A_g)$ and $(B_1, ..., B_g)$ are said to be *equivalent* if there is a Möbius transformation C so that $B_j = CA_jC^{-1}$, for every $j = 1, ..., g$. The space of equivalence classes of marked Schottky groups of rank g, denoted as $\mathcal{MS}_g$, is called the *marked Schottky space of rank* g.

6.2. **Marked Schottky space of rank** $g = 1$**.** Every loxodromic transformation can be conjugated, by a suitable Möbius transformation, to one (called a normalized one) whose attracting fixed point is 0 and repelling fixed point is ∞. Moreover, any two such normalized loxodromic transformations are conjugated if and only if they are the same. By the normalization, its multiplier λ belongs to $\Delta^* = \{0 < |z| < 1\}$, in particular, $\mathcal{MS}_1$ can be identified with Δ^*. In this model, the group of holomorphic automorphisms is given by the rotations about the origin, i.e., the transformations of the form $T(z) = e^{i\theta}z$, and the antiholomorphic ones of the form $R(z) = e^{i\theta}\overline{z}$ (all of them real structures whose real parts consist of two components, each one an arc). In this way, there is exactly one real structure, up to conjugation by holomorphic automorphisms, this being represented by the conjugation $J_1 : \Delta^* \to \Delta^*$, $J_1(\lambda) = \overline{\lambda}$.

Remark 19. We have observed that there are exactly six different topologically different extended Schottky groups of rank one. Observe that for $\lambda \in (0, 1) \subset \Delta^*$, the Schottky group $G_\lambda = \langle A_\lambda(z) = \lambda z\rangle$ is the orientation preserving half of an extended Schottky groups generated by either (i) two reflections or (ii) two imaginary reflections or (iii) a glide-reflection or (iv) a real Schottky group of type $(+; 0; 2)$. Now, if for $\lambda \in (-1, 0) \subset \Delta^*$, then the Schottky group G_λ is the orientation

preserving half of an extended Schottky groups generated by either (i) one reflection and one imaginary reflection or (ii) a real Schottky group of type $(-; 1; 1)$.

6.3. **Marked Schottky space of rank $g \geq 2$.** If $(A_1, \ldots, A_g)$ is a marked Schottky group of rank $g \geq 2$, then we may find a unique Möbius transformation M so that MA_1M^{-1} has its attracting fixed point at ∞, MA_2M^{-1} has its attracting point at 0 and $MA_2A_1M^{-1}$ has its attracting fixed point at 1. The new marked Schottky group $(MA_1M^{-1}, \ldots, MA_gM^{-1})$, which is equivalent to the previous one, is called a *normalized marked Schottky group*. As any Möbius transformation is uniquely determined by its action at three different points, in each equivalence class of marked Schottky groups there is exactly one normalized one. In this way, we may consider the map

$$\zeta : \mathcal{MS}_g \to (\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\})^{3g-3}$$

which sends a normalized (representative) marked Schottky group $(A_1, \ldots, A_g)$ to the tuple

$$(a_3, \ldots, a_g, r_1, \ldots, r_g, s_2, \ldots, s_g),$$

where a_j and r_j are, respectively, the attracting and repelling fixed points of A_j and s_j is the repelling fixed points of A_jA_1. This map provides a holomorphic isomorphism of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ with a domain in $(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\})^{3g-3}$ [16] and it permits to see it as a complex manifold of dimension $3(g - 1)$.

Theorem 20. *If $g \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{MS}_g$ is a model for the quasiconformal deformation space of Schottky group of rank g.*

Proof. Let us fix a Schottky group K of rank $g \geq 2$ and let A_1,..., A_g be a fixed set of generators of K. Up to conjugation by a Möbius transformation, we may assume $(A_1, \ldots, A_g)$ to be normalized.

Given $\mu \in L_1^\infty(K)$, then we consider the unique quasiconformal homeomorphism W_μ, with complex dilation μ, fixing the points ∞, 0 and 1. The homomorphism χ_μ is uniquely determined by the values $\chi_\mu(A_1) = W_\mu A_1 W_\mu^{-1}, \ldots, \chi_\mu(A_g) = W_\mu A_g W_\mu^{-1}$ and these are uniquely determined by the action of W_μ at the limit set of K. As $(\chi_\mu(A_1), \ldots, \chi_\mu(A_g))$ is a normalized marked Schottky group of rank g, the above provides a (holomorphic) map $L_1^\infty(K) \to \mathcal{MS}_g$ which sends μ to $[(\chi_\mu(A_1), ..., \chi_\mu(A_g))] \in \mathcal{MS}_g$. As for every pair of marked Schottky groups, say $(B_1, ..., B_g)$ and $(C_1, ..., C_g)$, there is a quasiconformal homeomorphism W with $WB_jW^{-1} = C_j$, for $j = 1, ..., g$ (see [11]), we may see that this map is surjective. It is not difficult to see that this map descends to a one-to-one map between $Q(K)$ and $\mathcal{MS}_g$. □

6.3.1. **The group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{MS}_g$, for $g \geq 2$.** Let $F_g = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_g \rangle$ be the free group of rank $g \geq 2$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_g)$ of automorphisms of F_g is known to be generated by the following automorphisms [26]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 &: \quad x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_1, \quad x_j \mapsto x_j, \ j = 2, \ldots, g. \\
\rho_2 &: \quad x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{g-1} \mapsto x_g \mapsto x_1 \\
\rho_3 &: \quad x_1 \mapsto x_1^{-1}, \quad x_j \mapsto x_j, \ j = 2, \ldots, g. \\
\rho_4 &: \quad x_1 \mapsto x_1 x_2, \quad x_j \mapsto x_j, \ j = 2, \ldots, g.
\end{aligned}$$

Earle [12] proved, for $g \geq 3$, that $\mathrm{Out}(F_g) = \mathrm{Aut}(F_g)/\mathrm{Inn}(F_g)$, where $\mathrm{Inn}(F_g)$ is the subgroup of inner automorphisms of F_g, is isomorphic to the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{MS}_g$.

If $g = 2$, then the exterior automorphism $\rho_0(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}) \in Out(F_2)$ induces the identity map of $\mathcal{MS}_2$; in fact, for every marked Schottky group (A_1, A_2) it holds that $E = A_1A_2 - A_2A_1 \in \mathbb{M}$ has order two and it satisfies that $EA_jE = A_j^{-1}$ [18]. The group of holomorphic automorphisms of

$\mathcal{MS}_2$ is given by $\mathrm{Out}^{red}(F_2) := \mathrm{Out}(F_2)/ \ll \rho_0 \gg$, where $\ll \rho_0 \gg$ denotes the normalizer of ρ_0 in $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$.

Remark 21. (1) The abelianizing homomorphism $F_g \to \mathbb{Z}^g$ provides a natural homomorphism $\theta : \mathrm{Out}(F_g) \to GL_g(\mathbb{Z})$. Nielsen [37] proved that θ is an isomorphism for $g = 2$ and surjective for $g \geq 3$. In the last situation, the kernel is a torsion-free [6] finitely generated group [25].

(2) There are finite order elements of $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$ acting freely on $\mathcal{MS}_g$. For instance, the order two element $\rho(x_1, x_3, x_3) = (x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_3) \in \mathrm{Out}(F_3)$ acts freely. In fact, if there is a fixed point $[(A_1, A_2, A_3)] \in \mathcal{MS}_3$, then there must be a Möbius transformation M such that $A_1^{-1} = MA_1M^{-1}$, $A_2 = MA_2M^{-1}$ and $A_3 = MA_3M^{-1}$. Last two equalities ensure that A_2, A_3 and M have the same two fixed points; a contradiction as this is not true for A_2 and A_3.

Remark 22 (Quasiconformal deformation space of extended Schottky groups)**.** As a consequence of the classification done in [13], there are extended Schottky group of the same rank which are topologically non-equivalent. This fact makes more difficult to provide a definition of the marked extended Schottky space of a fixed rank. In order to do it, we need to fix a topological type of the extended Schottky group. Once this is done, one may proceed with a definition of marked extended Schottky group and equivalences between them in a similar fashion as done for Schottky groups. If K is an extended Schottky group of rank $g \geq 2$, then its quasiconformal deformation space $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ is known to be a connected real analytical manifold of real dimension $3(g-1)$. Clearly, if two extended Schottky groups are topologically equivalent, then there is a real analytic isomorphism between their respective marked extended Schottky spaces.

6.3.2. **Real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_g$, for $g \geq 2$.** If $J(z) = \overline{z}$ and A is any Möbius transformation, then we set $\overline{A} := JAJ$. The bijection

$$J_g : \mathcal{MS}_g \to \mathcal{MS}_g : [(A_1, \ldots, A_g] \mapsto [(\overline{A_1}, \ldots, \overline{A_g}]$$

induces the *canonical real structure*; whose real points are provided by the classes of normalized marked Schottky groups $(A_1, \ldots, A_g)$, where $A_j \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (i.e., real Schottky groups whose limit set belongs to the extended real line).

As J_g commutes with every holomorphic automorphism of $\mathcal{MS}_g$, every real structure of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ has the form $J_g\rho$, where ρ is either the identity (obtaining the canonical real structure) or an order two holomorphic automorphism. Moreover, two real structures $J_g\rho_1$ and $J_g\rho_2$ are conjugated in the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ if and only if ρ_1 and ρ_2 so are.

Remark 23 (Example)**.** In Remark 21 we have seen that the order two holomorphic automorphism $\rho(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_3) \in \mathrm{Out}(F_3)$ acts freely on $\mathcal{MS}_3$, but the corresponding real structure $J_3\rho$ has real points. In fact, a fixed point of this real structure is provided by a marked Schottky group (A_1, A_2, A_3) so that $\overline{A_1} = A_1^{-1}$ (i.e., $A_1(z) = (az + it)/(isz + \overline{a})$, where $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$) and $A_2, A_3 \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Next, we apply part (1) of Theorem 17 to describe the real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ produced by an extended Schottky group of rank $g \geq 2$.

Let K be an extended Schottky group of rank g, K^+ be its index two orientation-preserving half (a Schottky group of rank g) and let us assume the extended Schottky group of rank g has signature $(a, b, c, d, e; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_e)$ (as in Theorem 2). Set $A := a + b$, $B := c + d$ and $C := \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_e$.

If we take an element of $K - K^+$ and consider its action by conjugation on K^+, then this provides an order two automorphism $J_g\rho_K \in \mathrm{Out}(F_g)$ (as conjugation by an element of K^+ induces the identity element of $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$ if we identify F_g with K^+) providing a real structure of $\mathcal{MS}_g$. If we choose another element in $K - K^+$, then we obtain another real structure which is conjugated to the previous by some element of $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$). Below, we proceed to describe ρ_K in the different topologically conjugacy classes.

Let us assume that in the the construction of K (as in Theorem 2) we used $E_1, \dots, E_A$ reflections and/or imaginary reflections (if $A > 0$), $L_1, \dots, L_c$ loxodromic transformations (if $c > 0$), $N_1, \dots, N_d$ glide-reflections (if $d > 0$), and (if $e > 0$) e real Schottky groups Γ_j generated by a reflection F_j and the Schottky group $\Gamma_j^+ = \langle A_{j,1}, \dots, A_{j,\gamma_j}\rangle$.

(1) If $A > 0$, then the Schottky group K^+ is freely generated by the following loxodromic transformations:

$$x_1 = E_1E_2, \dots, x_{A-1} = E_1E_A, x_A = L_1, \dots, x_{A+c-1} = L_c, x_{A+c} = E_1N_1, \dots, x_{A+B-1} = E_1N_d,$$
$$x_{A+B} = E_1L_1E_1, \dots, x_{A+B+c-1} = E_1L_cE_1, x_{A+B+c} = N_1E_1, \dots, x_{A+2B-1} = N_dE_1,$$
$$x_{A+2B} = A_{1,1}, \dots, x_{A+2B+\gamma_1-1} = A_{1,\gamma_1}, x_{A+2B+\gamma_1} = A_{2,1}, \dots, x_{A+2B+C-1} = A_{e,\gamma_e},$$
$$x_{A+2B+C} = E_1F_1, \dots, x_{A+2B+C+e-1} = E_1F_e.$$

In this case, the exterior automorphism ρ_K, obtained by conjugating by E_1, is given by:

$$\rho_K : \begin{cases} x_j \mapsto x_j^{-1}, & j = 1, \dots, A-1; \\ x_j \mapsto x_{j+B+1}, & j = A, \dots, A+B-1; \\ x_j \leftrightarrow x_{j+A+2B+C+1}, & j = A+2B, \dots, A+2B+C-1; \\ x_j \mapsto x_j^{-1}, & j = A+2B+C, \dots, A+2B+C+e-1. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $A = 0$ and $e > 0$, then the Schottky group K^+ is freely generated by the following loxodromic transformations:

$$x_1 = F_1F_2, \dots, x_{e-1} = F_1F_e, x_e = A_{1,1}, \dots, x_{e+\gamma_1-1} = A_{1,\gamma_1}, x_{e+\gamma_1} = L_1, \dots, x_{e+\gamma_1+c-1} = L_c,$$
$$x_{e+\gamma_1+c} = F_1N_1, \dots, x_{e+\gamma_1+B-1} = F_1N_d, x_{e+\gamma_1+B} = F_1L_1F_1, \dots, x_{e+\gamma_1+B+c-1} = F_1L_cF_1,$$
$$x_{e+\gamma_1+B+c} = N_1F_1, \dots, x_{e+\gamma_1+2B-1} = N_dF_1, x_{e+\gamma_1+2B} = A_{2,1}, \dots, x_{A+2B+C-1} = A_{e,\gamma_e}.$$

In this case, the exterior automorphism ρ_K, obtained by conjugating by F_1, is given by:

$$\rho_K : \begin{cases} x_j \mapsto x_j^{-1}, & j = 1, \dots, e-1; \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, & j = e, \dots, e+\gamma_1-1; \\ x_j \leftrightarrow x_{j+B}, & j = e+\gamma_1, \dots, e+B+\gamma_1-1; \\ x_j \mapsto x_1x_jx_1^{-1}, & j = e+2B+\gamma_1, \dots, e+2B+\gamma_1+\gamma_2-1; \\ x_j \mapsto x_2x_jx_2^{-1}, & j = e+2B+\gamma_1+\gamma_2, \dots, e+2B+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3-1; \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_j \mapsto x_{e-1}x_jx_{e-1}^{-1}, & j = e+2B+\gamma_1+\cdots\gamma_{e-1}, \dots, e+2B+C-1. \end{cases}$$

(3) If $A = e = 0$, then (remember that in this case, we may assume $c = 0$; so $B = d$) the Schottky group K^+ is freely generated by the following loxodromic transformations:

$$x_1 = N_1^2, x_2 = N_1N_2, \dots, x_B = N_1N_B, x_{B+1} = N_1N_2^{-1}, \dots, x_{2B-1} = N_1N_B^{-1}.$$

In this case, the exterior automorphism ρ_K, obtained by conjugating by N_1, is given by:

$$\rho_K : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1; \\ x_j \mapsto x_1 x_{B+j-1}^{-1}, & j = 2, \ldots, B; \\ x_j \mapsto x_1 x_{j-B+1}^{-1}, & j = B+1, \ldots, 2B-1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 24. It can be seen from the above explicit description that two extended Schottky groups of the same rank and signatures $(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1; \gamma_{1,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{1,e_1})$ and $(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2; \gamma_{2,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{2,e_2})$ induce the same real structure on $\mathcal{MS}_g$ if

$$a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2, \quad c_1 + d_1 = c_2 + d_2, \quad e_1 = e_2, \quad \{\gamma_{1,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{1,e_1}\} = \{\gamma_{2,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{2,e_2}\}.$$

If $g = 2$, then the other direction does not hold (see Section 6.4). For $g \geq 3$ we believe that the reciprocal holds, that is, there would be exactly $U_g = \left[\frac{g+3}{2}\right] + \sum_{f \in \Delta_g} \left[\frac{g_f+3}{2}\right]$ real structures with non-empty real part (up to conjugation), where g_f and Δ_g are the same as defined in Lemma 6.

6.4. **Real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_2$: proof of part (3) of Theorem 1.** As for $g = 2$ the group of holomorphic and antiholomorphic automorphisms is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Out}^{red}(F_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, every real structure has the form $J_2\rho$, where $\rho \in \mathrm{Out}^{red}(F_2)$ is either the identity or has order two.

The classification of finite order elements of $\mathrm{Out}(F_2)$, up to conjugation, was obtained in [34]. It says that, up to conjugation, there exactly three elements of order two, one of order three, one of order four and one of order six. The elements of order two in $\mathrm{Out}(F_2)$ are represented by $\rho_0(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1})$, $\rho_1(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$ and $\rho_2(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^{-1}, x_2)$ and that of order four is represented by $\rho_3(x_1, x_2) = (x_2^{-1}, x_1)$. The elelemnt ρ_0 induces the identity on $\mathrm{Out}^{red}(F_2)$ and, since $\rho_3^2 = \rho_0$, the elements ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 induce the following three involutions in $\mathrm{Out}^{red}(F_2)$

$$\widetilde{\rho_1}([A_1, A_2)]) = [(A_2, A_1)], \quad \widetilde{\rho_2}([A_1, A_2)]) = [(A_1^{-1}, A_2)], \quad \widetilde{\rho_3}([A_1, A_2)]) = [(A_2^{-1}, A_1)] = [(A_2, A_1^{-1})].$$

As $\widetilde{\rho_3} = \widetilde{\rho_1}\widetilde{\rho_2}$, these last three involutions generate a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ inside $\mathrm{Out}^{red}(F_2)$. By composing these three ones and the identity with the canonical real structure, we obtain the following four real structures on $\mathcal{MS}_2$:

$$J_2([(A_1, A_2)]) = [(\overline{A_1}, \overline{A_2})], \quad \widehat{\rho_1}([(A_1, A_2)]) = [(\overline{A_2}, \overline{A_1})],$$
$$\widehat{\rho_2}([(A_1, A_2)]) = [(\overline{A_1}^{-1}, \overline{A_2})], \quad \widehat{\rho_3}([(A_1, A_2)]) = [(\overline{A_2}^{-1}, \overline{A_1})]$$

Lemma 25. *The real structure $\widehat{\rho_3}$ has no real points and the real structures J_2, $\widehat{\rho_1}$ and $\widehat{\rho_2}$ have non-empty real part. Moreover, the real structure J_2 has exactly five connected components of real points, $\widehat{\rho_1}$ has three and $\widehat{\rho_2}$ has two.*

Proof. Assume by the contrary that $\widehat{\rho_3}$ has a fixed point of . It is provided by a marked Schottky group (A_1, A_2) so that there is a Möbius transformation M such that $MA_1M^{-1} = \overline{A_2}^{-1}$ and $MA_2M^{-1} = \overline{A_1}$. We may assume the attracting fixed point of A_1 and A_2 are ∞ and 1, respectively, and that the repelling fixed points are, respectively, 0 and $r \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$. It follows that $M : (\infty, 0, 1, r) \mapsto (\overline{r}, 1, \infty, 0)$. This asserts that $|r| = 1$ and $M(z) = (z - r)/(rz - r)$. The equalities $MA_1M^{-1} = \overline{A_2}^{-1}$ and $MA_2M^{-1} = \overline{A_1}$ now asserts that $A_1(z) = z/(r - 1)$ and $A_2(z) = (-r^2z + r(2r - 1))/((1 - 2r)z + r^2 + r - 1)$. As ∞ is the attracting fixed points of A_1, it follows that $|r - 1| < 1$. In any case, the fact that $|r| = 1$ will obligates to have that the real part (if non-empty) of $\widehat{\rho_3}$ should have real dimension one; a contradiction to the general fact that its dimension should be three.

We already noted that J_2 has fixed points. In order to see that $\widehat{\rho_1}$ and $\widehat{\rho_2}$ have non-empty real part, we use the structural description of extended Schottky groups of rank two of Theorem 2, together the computations done in Section 6.3.2. In fact, the extended Schottky groups of rank two corresponding to the signatures $(a, b, c, d, e; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_e)$ as in Theorem 2 induce the real structures as follows:

$$(3,0,0,0,0;-),(2,1,0,0,0;-),(1,2,0,0,0;-),(0,3,0,0,0;-),(0,0,0,0,1;2) \mapsto J_2$$

$$(1,0,1,0,0;-),(1,0,0,1,0;-),(0,1,0,1,0;-) \mapsto \widehat{\rho_1}$$

$$(1,0,0,0,1;1),(0,1,0,0,1;1) \mapsto \widehat{\rho_2}$$

The above asserts that the real structure J_2 has exactly five connected components of real points, that $\widehat{\rho_1}$ has three and $\widehat{\rho_2}$ has two. □

6.5. **Real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_g$, for $g \geq 3$: First half of part (4) of Theorem 1.** As for $g \geq 3$ the group of holomorphic and antiholomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Out}(F_g) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, the number of conjugacy classes of real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ is equal to $1 + T_g$, where T_g is the number of conjugacy classes of elements of order two of $\mathrm{Out}(F_g)$. This provides the first half of part (4) of Theorem 1.

7. Proof of Theorem 1

Let us fix a Schottky group K of rank $g \geq 2$, with region of discontinuity Ω. Let $S = \Omega/K$ be the closed Riemann surface uniformized by K and let $T : \Omega \to S$ be a regular covering with K as deck group. In this case, $M = M_K$ is a handlebody of genus g and its conformal boundary is the closed Riemann surface S.

As a consequence of Proposition 15, we may identify $\mathcal{T}(M)$ with $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ (which can also be identified with $\mathcal{MS}_g$). In this case, we can make this more precise. There is a natural homomorphism $\theta : \mathrm{Diff}(M) \to \mathrm{Diff}(S)$, defined by the restriction; $\theta(f) = f|_S$. If $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^+(M)$, then $\theta(f) \in \mathrm{Diff}^+(S)$. The image $\theta(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$ is exactly the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(S)$ consisting of those diffeomorphisms which extends continuously as diffeomorphisms of M. As M is a compression body, it is not difficult to observe that if $\theta(f) \in \mathrm{Diff}_0(S)$, then $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_0(M)$. It follows that there is a natural one-to-one homomorphism $\theta : \mathrm{Mod}(M) \to \mathrm{Mod}(S)$. Let $H(M)$ be the (necessarily normal) subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(M)$ consisting of those classes of diffeomorphisms of M inducing the identity homomorphism on $\pi_1(M) \cong K$. It follows that $H(M) \cong \theta(H(M)) = H(K) \lhd \mathrm{Mod}(S)$, where $H(K) = H(K, \Omega)$ was defined in a previous section. As noted before, we have a universal covering map $\Pi : \mathcal{T}(S) \to \mathcal{Q}(K)$, with $\theta(H(M)^+) = \theta(H(M))^+ = H(K)^+$ as the deck group, that is, $H(M)^+ \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{MS}_g)$ [32].

Remark 26. Every real structure of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ (with non-empty real part) lifts, by the universal cover $\Pi : \mathcal{T}(S) \to \mathcal{MS}_g$, to a real structure of $\mathcal{T}(S)$. As the cover group $H(K)^+$ is not a normal subgroup of the modular group, there are real structures of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ which do not induce real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_g$. Also, it might be that two conjugate real structures of $\mathcal{T}_g$ induce non-conjugate real structures on $\mathcal{MS}_g$. The main reason of this is that there are diffeomorphisms of S which cannot extend continuously to the handlebody M.

7.1. **Proof of part (1) and second half of part (4).** Let us consider a real structure τ^* on $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ with a real point $p = [\mu] \in \mathcal{Q}(K)$ (i.e., $\tau^*(p) = p$) and let $q \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ be so that $\Pi(q) = p$. Consider a lifting of τ^*, say $\widetilde{\tau} : \mathcal{T}(S) \to \mathcal{T}(S)$, which fixes the point q; in particular, $\widetilde{\tau}$ is a real structure on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$. As a consequence of Royden's theorem [38], the locus of real points of such a real structure is a real analytic submanifold of $\mathcal{T}(S)$. By Nielsen's isomorphism theorem [19], there exists an orientation reversing homeomorphism $\widehat{\tau} : S \to S$ of order two inducing the real structure $\widetilde{\tau}$. The fixed point q of $\widetilde{\tau}$ corresponds to a (maybe different) Riemann surface structure on S for which $\widehat{\tau}$ acts as anti-conformal involution. The new Riemann surface structure on S induces a Schottky structure on M, say provided by the Schottky group $K_p = W_\mu K W_\mu^{-1}$, where W_μ is a normalized quasiconformal homeomorphism with Beltrami coefficient μ. The involution $\widehat{\tau}$ extends continuously to an orientation-reversing isometry (given by K_p) of order two on the interior of M (whose isotopy class is given by τ^*).

In this way, the anti-conformal involution $\widehat{\tau}$ (on the corresponding Riemann surface structure) can be lifted to an anti-conformal automorphism η_p of $\Omega_p = W_\mu(\Omega)$ which normalizes the Schottky group K_p. As the region of discontinuity of a Schottky group is of class O_{AD} (that is, it admits no holomorphic function with finite Dirichlet norm [4, pg 241]), every conformal map from Ω_p into the extended complex plane is a Möbius transformation [4, pg 200], in particular, η_p is an extended Möbius transformation. If $\widehat{K}_p = \langle K_p, \eta_p \rangle$, then $\widehat{K}_p$ is an extended Kleinian group whose region of discontinuity is Ω_p so that K_p is its orientation-preserving half. This ensures that each real point of the real structure τ^* can be identified with an extended Schottky group whose orientation-preserving half is a quasiconformal deformation of K and that τ^* is induced by such an extended Schottky group.

7.2. **Proof of part (2).** Let τ_1^* and τ_2^* be two real structures of $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ and let $[\mu_j] \in \mathcal{Q}(K)$ be a fix point of τ_j^*, for $j = 1, 2$. Assume that the corresponding extended Schottky groups $\widehat{K}_{[\mu_1]}$ and $\widehat{K}_{[\mu_2]}$ (as constructed above) are topologically conjugated by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $f : W_{\mu_1}(\Omega) \to W_{\mu_2}(\Omega)$. The homeomorphism $W_{\mu_2}^{-1} f W_{\mu_1} : \Omega \to \Omega$ descends to an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h : S \to S$ conjugating the corresponding orientation reversing involutions $\widehat{\tau}_j$ induced by these two real structures. It follows that the class $[h] \in H^*(K)^+$ induces, by Proposition 11, a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathcal{Q}(K)$ conjugating the two given real structures.

7.3. **A remark with respect to part (4).** Let us assume that $g \geq 3$. Above we have seen that every real structure with non-empty real part is induced by an extended Schottky group. By Royden's theorem, the group of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ can be identified with $\mathrm{Diff}(M)/H(M)$ and that there is a bijection between the conjugacy classes of real structures of $\mathcal{MS}_g$, with non-empty real part, and the conjugacy classes of orientation reversing diffeomorphisms of order two of M up to isotopy. The following provides another argument to obtain Part (4).

Lemma 27. *Let $f : M \to M$ be an orientation reversing diffeomorphism of order two. Then there is an extended Schottky group Γ and a diffeomorphism $h : M \to M_{\Gamma^+}$ so that hfh^{-1} is induced by Γ. In particular, every real structure of $\mathcal{MS}_g$ with non-empty real part is induced by some extended Schottky group.*

Proof. Let f_0 be the restriction of f to the boundary $\partial(M)$. By Nielsen's realization Theorem [19], there is a Riemann surface structure S on $\partial(M)$ and a anti-conformal involution $\eta : S \to S$, isotopic to f_0. It is well known that there is a Schottky group G providing an uniformization of S for which

η lifts. The lifting produces an extended Schottky group Γ with $G = \Gamma^+$. The Schottky group G provides a Schottky structure on M whose conformal boundary is S and for which η extends to M as an anti-conformal involution. As η is homotopic to f_0 on the boundary and M is a compression body, the result follows. □

Remark 28. In the proof of Theorem 1, we have used the fact that every holomorphic (respectively, antiholomorphic) automorphism of the region of discontinuity of a Schottky group is the restriction of a Möbius (respectively, extended Möbius) transformation. So, the arguments can be used for function groups with such a property; for instance Kleinian groups which are the free product of a Schottky group and some finite copies of Kleinian groups isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (the uniformized Riemann surfaces still closed ones and the Kleinian manifold is a handlebody from which some simple loops have been deleted). This will be pursued elsewhere.

References

[1] Ahlfors, L. *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings.* Princeton Univ. Press: Princeton, New Jersey 1960.
[2] Ahlfors, L. Finitely generated Kleinian groups. *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964), 413–429; correction **87** (1965), 759.
[3] Ahlfors, L. and Bers, L. Riemann's mapping theorem for variable metrics. *Ann. Math.* **72** (1960), 385–404.
[4] Ahlfors, L. and Sario, L. *Riemann Surfaces.* Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1960.
[5] Andreotti, A. and Holm, P. Quasianalytic and parametric spaces. In Per Holm, editor, Real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976, pp. 13–97, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands, 1977. Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers.
[6] G. Baumslag and T. Taylor. The centre of groups with one defining relator. *Math Ann.* **175** (1968), 315–319.
[7] Bers, L. Spaces of Kleinian groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **155**, Springer, Berlin, 1970, 9–34.
[8] Bers, L. Automorphic forms for Schottky groups. *Adv. in Math.*, 16:332–361, 1975.
[9] E. Bujalance, G. Gromadzki and M. Izquierdo. On real forms of a complex algebraic curve. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **70** (2001), 134-142.
[10] Buser, P. and Seppälä, M. Real structures of Teichmller spaces, Dehn twists, and moduli spaces of real curves. *Math. Z.* **232** (3) (1999), 547–558.
[11] Chuckrow, V. On Schottky groups with application to Kleinian groups. *Ann. of Math.* **88** (1968), 47–61.
[12] Earle, C. The group of biholomorphic self-mappings of Schottky space. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I, Math.* **16** (2) (1991), 399–410.
[13] G. Gromadzki and R.A. Hidalgo. Schottky uniformizations of symmetries. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal* **55** (2013), 591–613.
[14] G. Gromadzki and M. Izquierdo. Real forms of a Riemann surface of even genus. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (12) (1991), 3475–3479.
[15] A. Harnack. Über die Vieltheiligkeit der ebenen algebraischen Kurven. *Math. Ann.* **10** (1876), 189–199.
[16] R. A. Hidalgo. The noded Schottky space. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **73** (1996), 385–403.
[17] Kalliongis, J. and McCullough, D. Orientation-reversing involutions on handlebodies. *Trans. of the Math. Soc.* (5) **348** (1996), 1739–1755.
[18] L. Keen. On hyperelliptic Schottky groups. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., series A.I. Mathematica* **5** (1980), 165–174.
[19] Kerckhoff, Steven P. The Nielsen realization problem. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 235–265.
[20] Koebe, P. Über die Uniformisierung der Algebraischen Kurven II. *Math. Ann.* **69** (1910),1–81.
[21] V. A. Krasnov. Harnack–Thom inequalities for mappings of real algebraic varieties. *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **22** (1984), 247–275.
[22] Lehto, O. *Univalent functions and Teichmüller spaces.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **109**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1987.
[23] Lehto, O. and Virtanen, K.I. *Quasiconformal mappings in the plane.* Springer: New York. Heidelberg, Berlin 1973.
[24] Luft, E. Actions of the homeotopy group of an orientable 3-dimensional handlebody. *Math. Ann.* **234** (1978), 279–292.

[25] W. Magnus. Über n-dimensional Gittertransformationen. *Acta Math.* **64** (1934), 353–367.
[26] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar *Combinatorial Group Theory*. New York: Dover Publications (2004).
[27] Marden, A. The geometry of finitely generated Kleinian groups. *Ann. of Math.* **99** (1974), 383–462.
[28] Maskit, B. *Kleinian groups*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. **287**, Springer - Verlag, Berlin, Heildelberg, New York, 1988.
[29] Maskit, B. Self-maps of Kleinian groups. *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 840–856.
[30] Maskit, B. A characterization of Schottky groups. *J. d'Analyse Math.*, 19:227–230, 1967.
[31] Maskit, B. On Klein's combination theorem III. *Ann. of Math. Studies* **66** (1971), Princeton Univ. Press, 297–316.
[32] Maskit, B. On the topology of classical Schottky space. Complex manifolds and hyperbolic geometry (Guanajuato, 2001), 305–311, *Contemp. Math.* **311**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
[33] Matsuzaki, K. and Taniguchi, M. *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Kleinina Groups*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
[34] S. Meskin. Periodic automorphisms of the two-generator free group. In: Proc. Conf. Canberra, 1973 (*Lecture Notes in Math.* **372** (1974), 494–498). Berlin, Springer.
[35] Morrey, Charles B. Jr. On the Solutions of Quasi-Linear Elliptic Partial Differential Equations. *Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1) (1938), 126–166
[36] Nag, S. *The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces.* A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1988.
[37] J. Nielsen. Die Gruppe der dreidimensionalen Gittertransformationen. *Danske Vid. Selsk.Mat.-Fys. Medd.* **12** (1924), 1–29.
[38] Royden, H.L.. Automorphisms and isometries of Teichmueller space. In Lars V. Ahlfors et al., editor, Advances in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces, Vol. **66** of Ann. of Math. Studies (1971), 369–383.
[39] Seppälä, M. Teichmüller spaces of Klein surfaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Mathematica Dissertationes*, **15** (1978), 1–37.
[40] Seppälä, M. Quotient of complex manifolds and moduli spaces of Klein surfaces. *Ann. Acad. Scie. Fenn. Ser. A I Mathematica*, **6** (1981), 113–124.
[41] Seppälä, M. Real structures of Teichmüller spaces. *Manuscripta Mathematica* **40** (1982), 79-86.
[42] Seppälä, M. Real structures of Teichmüller spaces, Dehn twists and moduli spaces of real curves. *Math. Z.* **232** (1999), 547–558.
[43] Weichhold, G. Über symmetrische Riemannsche Flächen un die Periodizitätsmodulen der zugehlörigen Abelschen Normalintegrale erste Gattun. Leipziger Dissertation, 1883.

Departamento de Matemática y Estadística, Universidad de La Frontera. Casilla 54-D, Temuco, Chile
E-mail address: ruben.hidalgo@ufrontera.cl.cl

Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
E-mail address: seba.sarmient@gmail.com